

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,866	07/02/2003	Lenny Lipton	95194936.044021	6251
78769 REAL D - Pat	7590 08/06/200 ent Department	EXAMINER		
by Baker & M	cKenzie LLP	RICE, ELISA M		
2001 Ross Avo Dallas, TX 752	enue, Suite 2300 201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

reald@bakernet.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/613,866	LIPTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ELISA M. RICE	2624		

	ELISA M. RICE	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
 ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period char under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee >e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	,		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefere are the date of fire a bit		the estimat
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>6/10/20</u>	09	
/Brian P. Werner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Elisa M Rice/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Swift 1 does not teach the initiations of claim 1 and 16 wherein for converting each pixel of the input image to a corresponding pixel for a tought image in accord with a support table matrix setting forth a predefined relationship between the first format and the second format to establish validity of the converting of the input image to the output image for a desired display method, thereby creating the output image. Swift 1 (US 2002/0122585 At) teaches converting from one mode to the next to satisfy different viewing formats. Figure 1 of Swift shows the pixels of the input image corresponding to the stereoscopic 3D media file 12 being converted to various different display formats such as monoscopic, line-interleaved, cross-eye, parallel, various analgybr, page-flipping, and others (see also Swift 1, paragraph 28), which correspond to the pixels of the output image in accordance with a mapping function of some kind, with a mapping function being a table matrix as shown in Swift 2 in Figure 4.C. The stereoscopic media systems' input-output mapping function estimates the validity of converting of the input image to the output image for a desired display method by guaranteeing that the conversion from one format will be valid according to the output image for a desired display method by guaranteeing that the conversion from one format will be valid according to the output image for a desired display method by guaranteeing that the conversion from one format will be valid according to the output image for a desired display method by guaranteeing that the conversion from one format will be